

CROSS-LINGUISTIC EVIDENCE FOR THE THREE TYPES OF WH-EXCLAMATIVES

Natalia Zevakhina (natalia.zevakhina@gmail.com)

National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russian Federation

The poster was prepared within the framework of the HSE University Basic Research Program and funded by the Russian Academic Excellence Project '5-100.'

The poster is presented at the SPAGAD-1 Speech Acts in Grammar and Discourse: Syntactic and Semantic Modeling

30.10-01.11.2019

Wh-exclamatives: Prototypical instances and semantic theories for them

Degree exclamatives in (1) and (2).

- (1) What a big tree you have in your garden!
- (2) How big this tree is!

Zanuttini and Portner (2003): propositional semantics

A special operator widens a set of alternative propositions and the speaker's surprise is captured by a conventional scalar implicature.

Rett (2008, 2011): degree semantics

This approach captures the fact that only degree exclamatives are possible. However, this is true of only some languages (e.g., English).

Wh-exclamatives: Some more data and theories

Hoe Jan zijn paard berijdt! (Dutch; Nouwen and Chernilovskaya 2015: 212)) how Jan his horse ride.prs.3sg

'How Jan rides his horse!'

OKEvaluative reading: 'Jan rides his horse beautifully/clumsily/etc.'

OKManner reading: 'Jan rides his horse saddled/bare-backed/etc.'

O, kam uyd-tan abon! (Ossetic; my data)

interj where be-pst.intr.1sg today

'Wow, the place I have been today!' ('Wow, where I have been today!', lit.)

Vau, ma (še-)ima af-ta! (Hebrew; my data)

interj what comp-mother bake.pst-3sg.f

'Wow, the stuff mom baked!' ('Wow, what mother baked!', lit.)

??/*(Ar daijereb,) raţoms ʒinavs čem-s važ-s coţa! (Georgian)

neg believe.fut.2sg why sleep.he_dat my-dat son-dat little.nom

'You won't believe why my son sleeps so little!'

Nouwen and Chernilovskaya (2015): noteworthiness approach Two types of wh-exclamatives (degree and non-degree) involve a noteworthiness evaluation either on DP-level or on clause-level.

(Non-)embeddability of wh-exclamatives

Embeddability approach: exclamatives are embeddable

Elliott (1974: 233) as <u>Hypothesis 1</u>:

word order of S, V, O phrases in matrix wh-exclamatives = word order S, V, O phrases in embedded wh-exclamatives =

word order S, V, O phrases in embedded wh-interrogatives;

• Elliott (1974: 232) as *Hypothesis 2* ("matrix-embedded asymmetry"):

A set of wh-words acceptable in embedded exclamatives ⊆ a set wh-words acceptable in matrix exclamatives.

matrix clauses (hypothesis of insubordination).

Non-embeddability approach (insubordination approach; Evans 2007, Koenig and Siemund 2007, 2013): exclamatives emerged via ellipsis of

Non-assertivity approach (Mithun 2008): embedded structures function as matrix exclamatives => evidence for extension of use of embedded structures, not necessarily insubordination via ellipsis.

References

•Elliott, Dale (1974). Toward a grammar of exclamations. Foundations of language 11(2), 231–246. Evans, Nicholas (2007). Insubordination and its uses. In Irina Nikolaeva (ed.), Finiteness: Theoretical and empirical foundations, 366–431. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Koenig, Ekkehard & Peter Siemund (2007). Speech act distinctions in grammar. In Timothy Shopen (ed.), Language typology and syntactic description, vol. 1, 276–324. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Koenig, Ekkehard & Peter Siemund (2013). Satztyp und Typologie. In Jorg Meibauer, Markus Steinbach & Hans Altmann (eds.) Satztypen des Deutschen, 846–873. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. Mithun, Marianne (2008). The extension of dependency beyond the sentence. Language 81(1): 69–119. Nouwen, Rick & Anna Chernilovskaya (2015). Two types of exclamatives. Linguistic Variation 15(2), 201–224. Rett, Jessica (2008). A degree account of exclamatives. In Tova Friedman & Satoshi Ito (eds.), Proceedings of the 18th Semantic and Linguistic Theory Conference, 601–618. Fort Washington, PA: CLC Publications. Rett, Jessica (2011). Exclamatives, degrees and speech acts. Linguistics and Philosophy 34(5). 411–442. Zanuttini, Raffaella & Paul Portner (2003). Exclamative clauses: At the syntax-semantics interface. Language 79(1). 39–81. Zevakhina Natalia (2016). The hypothesis of insubordination and three types of h-exclamatives. Studies in Language 40 (4). P. 765-814.

Current study: Methods

- Synchronic cross-linguistic study of exclamatives (Zevakhina 2016).
- New data from 11 genealogically (un)related languages: Basque, Bulgarian, Estonian, Georgian, Hebrew, Hindi, Korean, Lithuanian, Ossetic, Russian, Turkish.
- Collection of data: questionnaire sentences with supported contexts translated by native speakers, grammaticality judgements of construed sentences via email correspondence/personal communication.
- Questionnaire is available via https://www.eva.mpg.de/lingua/tools-at-lingboard/pdf/Exclamatives questionnaire.pdf
- Data from the literature sources: English, German, Dutch, Classical Greek, Romance languages (Catalan, French, Italian, and Spanish), Hungarian

Current study: Hypotheses and Results

Hypothesis 1 is supported w.r.t. the following parameters:

- (non-)obligatoriness of wh-movement;
- the position of a (moved) wh-phrase;
- (non-)obligatoriness of subject-verb inversion.

This is compatible with both the embeddability and non-embeddability approaches.

Hypothesis 2 is supported.

The study revealed three cross-linguistically valid groups of wh-exclamatives which differ w.r.t. the matrix-embedded asymmetry.

The following wh-words were tested in exclamatives: inanimate object ('what'), personal ('who'), quantitative ('how many/ much'), locative ('where'), temporal ('when'), causal ('why'), kind ('what kind'), individual ('which') and manner ('how').

 Group 1: qualitative and quantitative wh-exclamatives (wh-exclamatives with gradable adjectives or adverbs)

Felicitous in both matrix and embedded contexts.

Five contexts tested:

- wh-word + NP with a gradable adjective in an attributive position (e.g., What a beautiful dress my sister bought!);
- wh-word + NP with an elided gradable adjective in an attributive position (e.g., What a dress my sister bought!);
- wh-word + gradable adjective in a predicative position (e.g., How beautiful this dress is!);
- wh-word + gradable adverb (e.g., How fast my brother runs!);
- ❖ wh-word + an elided gradable adverb or a verb (e.g., How my brother runs!).

Some contexts are exclamative-only.

Some contexts are sensitive to ellipsis of a gradable adjective/adverb.

Both qualitative and quantitative wh-exclamatives seem to be prototypical instances of wh-exclamatives: if a language allows for wh-exclamatives at all, it allows for qualitative and quantitative wh-exclamatives.

• Group 2: kind, individual, and manner wh-exclamatives

Their felicitousness is subject to cross-linguistic variation.

Their felicitousness is identical in matrix and embedded contexts.

Group 3: the rest of wh-exclamatives

Felicitous in embedded contexts.

Implicit hierarchy ranging from the most to the least appropriate matrix clauses cross-linguistically:

inanimate object/ personal wh-exclamatives (Basque, Bulgarian, Dutch, Estonian, Georgian, German, Hebrew, Hungarian, Lithuanian, Ossetic, Russian, and Turkish) >

locative (Basque, Estonian, Lithuanian, Russian) >

temporal (Bulgarian, Georgian, German, Hungarian, and Ossetic) >

causal (in my sample, no language allows for them in minimal/neutral contexts).

If a language allows for causal matrix wh-exclamatives, it should also allow for inanimate object, personal, locative, and temporal matrix wh-exclamatives.

Current study: Discussion

Semantics

- Degree vs. non-degree noteworthiness evaluation on both DP-level vs. clause-level.
- Non-degree noteworthiness evaluation on clause-level are of 2 types: argument vs. adjunct.

Syntax

- Syntax of wh-exclamatives is identical in matrix and embedded use => (non-)embeddability?
- Further facts: idiosyncratic embedded use. Not every predicate allows for exclamatives and not every form of such a predicate (cf. also factivity criterion of exclamatives).

*He exclaimed how big elephants were!

*I don't know how big elephants are vs. He knew how big elephants are.